



## **Beyond Stereotypes: Redefining Gender Expectations in Louisa May Alcott's *Little Women***

**\*Jemi A.R. and Anne Feril M.F.**

Department of English, Holy Cross College (Autonomous), Nagercoil - 629 004

Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli - 627 012

### **ABSTRACT**

*Alcott's Little Women is a quintessential novel that has shaped literary depictions of gender roles and women's experiences in the 19th century. Alcott's story of the four March sisters Meg, Jo, Beth, and Amy explores the complex ways in which women navigated the societal expectations imposed upon them, often torn between the traditional roles of wife and mother and their desires for personal independence and fulfillment. The novel's exploration of gender roles has made it a touchstone in discussions about feminism and the role of women in both literature and society. Through a blend of traditional and subversive portrayals, Alcott offers a multifaceted depiction of womanhood, showing how gender roles shape identity, relationships, and personal aspirations. The objective of the paper entitled "Beyond Stereotypes: Redefining Gender Expectations in Louisa May Alcott's Little Women" is to unearth the gender problems portrayed in the novel and how the characters break the boundaries and come out with flying colours.*

**Keywords:** Gender, family, civil war, sisters

### **Introduction**

*Little Women* portrays a family affected by the Civil War, focusing on the challenges faced by the women, particularly the aunt and mother of the March siblings, as they strive to maintain their social standing. Mrs. March's compassion is evident throughout the story, and she is admired for serving as a role model, inspiring her daughters to pursue their dreams. The March sisters share a close bond with their neighbour, Laurie, whose friendship with Jo is particularly significant. Laurie plays a key role in connecting Jo and Amy. Jo and Amy are especially captivating, as they are both fearless and determined to pursue what they want, no matter the cost. Their relationships with Laurie and their aunt, along with their playful banter and rivalry, set them apart from the other sisters. Jo's frustration with societal expectations is clear in her actions, while Amy's desire to conform creates a distinct contrast between the two.

*Little Women* challenges the traditional views of women in 19th-century America. Marmee advises her daughters that they should not focus on finding husbands but instead seek personal fulfillment. Through her actions, Marmee demonstrates that a home can be managed successfully without a man's support, as she does while Mr. March is away at war. While many, like Aunt March, expect young women to seek affluent men, Marmee holds a different view on the value of marriage. According to Adrienne Rich, ". . . fundamental to women's oppression is the assumption that we as a group belong to the 'private' sphere of the home, the hearth, the family, the sexual, the emotional, out of which men emerge as adults to act in the 'public' arena of power, the 'real' world ...." (qtd. in Haskell 10).

Jo is intriguing as an example of female independence in early American society. She is a tomboy, often reprimanded by her sisters for whistling, using slang, and behaving in unladylike ways. The March sisters represent different aspects of femininity and the varied ways in which women could either embrace or resist traditional gender roles. At the heart of the novel lies the question of what it means to be a woman in a society that defines women's value with their roles within the domestic sphere. While the characters largely conform to the expectations of their time, each sister engages with these gender norms in her own way, revealing the tensions and contradictions inherent in the roles women were expected to play. Through their stories, Alcott engages with contemporary debates about women's place in society, the value of domesticity, and the possibility of female autonomy.

Meg March, the eldest of the sisters, serves as a representation of the traditional womanhood expected of women in the 19th century. Her desire for a life centered around marriage and motherhood reflects the dominant cultural narrative that positioned women as nurturers and caretakers, whose primary role was within the home. At the outset, Meg aspires to live within the bounds of social expectations. Her dreams are largely conventional: she longs for a comfortable home, a loving husband, and the ability to care for her family. In this sense, Meg appears to embrace the ideals of womanhood as defined by her society.

However, Alcott does not present Meg's life as entirely free from tension. While Meg marries John Brooke and settles into a life of domesticity, her internal struggles suggest that even women who seemed to conform to gender roles were not always content within them. Meg's adjustment to married life, particularly her efforts to manage the household on a limited income and balance the demands of marriage with her own desires, highlights the difficulties inherent in fulfilling the ideal of womanhood. Her struggles reveal the pressure placed on women to find fulfillment in the roles of wife and mother, even when these roles are fraught with financial and emotional challenges. Meg invites readers to question whether

traditional womanhood, as defined by domesticity and submission to a husband's authority, could truly offer women the fulfillment they were promised. At the beginning of the novel, Meg says, "I know I do teach those tiresome children nearly all day, when I'm longing to enjoy myself at home" (7).

While Meg's story upholds traditional gender norms, Jo March's narrative offers a counterpoint, presenting an alternative vision of womanhood that resists the confines of domesticity. Jo, the second eldest, is fiercely independent and ambitious, and her rejection of conventional femininity makes her one of the novel's most enduring figures. From the beginning, Jo resists the notion that her future must revolve around marriage and motherhood. She is outspoken, unconventional, and determined to pursue her passion for writing- traits often associated with masculinity in the 19th century. Jo's desire to become a writer and live independently of the domestic sphere represents a challenge to the gender norms of her time, which positioned women's worth about their ability to maintain a home and raise children.

Jo's resistance to traditional gender roles is perhaps most evident in her rejection of marriage as a primary goal. Throughout much of the novel, Jo expresses a desire to remain unmarried, fearing that marriage would limit her independence and curtail her aspirations. Her refusal of Laurie's marriage proposal is a pivotal moment in the novel, as it underscores her commitment to a life that does not conform to societal expectations. Jo's rejection of Laurie can be seen as a rejection of the idea that a woman's primary goal in life should be to secure a husband, and her desire to carve out her own path contrasts with the more traditional aspirations of her sisters.

Both *Pride and Prejudice* and *Little Women* explore gender roles, with Austen focusing on the societal pressure for women to marry for security, while Alcott offers a more progressive view of women's independence and ambitions. While Elizabeth Bennet navigates the limited choices of her time, Jo March challenges traditional roles by pursuing a career and personal fulfillment beyond marriage. Both novels highlight the constraints placed on women, but *Little Women* goes further in depicting a broader range of female aspirations and the possibility of defining one's own path: "It is a truth universally acknowledged that a single man in possession of a good fortune must be in want of a wife" (Austen 44).

However, Jo's eventual marriage to Professor Bhaer complicates this narrative of resistance. In many ways, Jo's marriage seems to suggest a retreat from the independence she initially sought. Some critics have argued that Jo's marriage represents Alcott's capitulation to societal pressure, a concession to the cultural expectation that even the most

unconventional women must eventually settle into the role of wife. Jo's marriage raises important questions about the extent to which women in the 19th century could truly escape the gender roles imposed upon them, and whether autonomy was ultimately compatible with the institution of marriage. When Amy tells Laurie that Mr. Bhaer intends to marry Jo, he responds, "Well, my love, I consider him a trump, in the fullest sense of that expressive word, but I do wish he was a little younger and a good deal richer" (135).

Beth March, the third sister, represents a more passive embodiment of traditional femininity, characterized by selflessness, nurturing, and submission. Throughout the novel, Beth is portrayed as the most virtuous and self-sacrificing of the sisters, embodying the ideal of the "angel in the house" that was prevalent in Victorian society. Beth's life revolves around caring for her family, particularly her parents, and she never expresses any desire for a life outside the home. Her quiet acceptance of her role as a caretaker can be interpreted as a reflection of the societal expectation that women should find fulfillment in service to others, particularly their families.

Beth's character, however, raises complex questions about the cost of conforming to such rigid ideals of femininity. Her passivity and selflessness, while initially presented as virtues, ultimately lead to her demise. Beth's death can be seen as a symbol of the dangers of idealizing a form of womanhood that requires women to completely subsume their own desires and needs to those of others. In this sense, Beth functions as both an embodiment and a critique of the gender roles that defined women's lives in the 19th century. Her tragic end suggests that the ideal of womanhood as self-sacrifice is unsustainable and that the demands placed on women to be endlessly nurturing and selfless could have devastating consequences.

Amy March, the youngest of the sisters, offers a more complex negotiation of traditional gender roles, blending ambition with an acceptance of conventional femininity. While Amy initially appears shallow and materialistic, her character undergoes significant growth over the course of the novel. Amy's early desire for wealth and status reflects the societal pressure on women to marry well in order to secure their social and financial standing. Simone de Beauvoir opines

Marriage was deemed necessary in traditional society to procreate and so that the man could keep track of his progeny, in the process of which, the woman become man's property. To seal that transaction, a husband provided the wife a roof over her head, money, and social security. The wife, in return, provided him and his family with heirs and care. As the world changed and women became less dependent on the

financial and social security that came with marriage, some people began to opt for marriages based on love, mutual respect, and shared values.

However, as she matures, Amy develops a more nuanced understanding of what it means to be a woman in her society, balancing her personal ambitions with the expectations placed upon her. While Amy attempted every branch of art, she could not become a great artist. One of her weaknesses was a desire to move in ‘our best society’. Amy says, “I should like to take them for a drive to the places they want to see, a row on the river, perhaps, and make a little artistic fete for them” (90). Amy’s pursuit of art as a means of self-expression demonstrates her desire to engage in a creative life, but unlike Jo, she does not entirely reject the traditional roles of wife and mother. Amy’s marriage to Laurie, while practical in some ways, also represents a union based on mutual respect and affection. Redefining gender expectations involves challenging traditional roles and embracing the freedom to pursue personal identity, ambition, and fulfillment beyond societal norms.

### **References**

1. Alcott, Louisa May. *Little Women*. London: Orient Pub., 1945.
2. Austen, Jane. *Pride and Prejudice*. London: Olympia Pub., 1965.
3. Haskell, Candace Michelle. “Adrienne Rich: Images of Marriage and Motherhood.” Thesis, Northridge: California State University, 1986.